

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 157 of 2016**

- President, Prathmik Krishi Saakh Sahkari Samiti Maryadit Bodra, Post Daahi, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh), Through : President, Doman Lal Chandrakar, S/o Bharatram Chandrakar, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Village Gopalpuri, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through : The Secretary, Co-Operative Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Deputy Registrar, Co-Operative Societies, Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)
3. Registrar, Co-Operative Societies, Chhattisgarh Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Upadhyaksh Bhansing Mahipal, R/o Village Puri, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)
5. Mahila Upadhyaksh Smt. Dashoda Bai Sahu, R/o Village Bodra, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)
6. Sanchalak Mandal Sadasya Santosh Sen, R/o Village Bodra, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)
7. Panchram Dhruv, R/o Village Bodra, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)
8. Smt. Bhagwantin Bai Sahu, R/o Village Puri, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)
9. Khooblal Sen, R/o Village Sarsopuri, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)
10. Nandlal Sahu, R/o Village Kashipuri, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)
11. Amit Dhruv, R/o Village Dhaurabhatha, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)
12. Alakhram Sahu, R/o Village Gopalpuri, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)
13. Jeevan Lal Sahu, R/o Village Bijnaapuri, Tahsil And District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri PP Sahu, Advocate
For Respondents-State	:	Shri Arun Sao, Dy. AG for the State

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board**

21/01/2016

1. Although the petitioner has sought quashment of the order dated 8-7-15, passed by the Chhattisgarh State Co-operative Tribunal, Bilaspur (for short 'the Tribunal'), rejecting petitioner's application for grant of stay, however, in course of hearing learned counsel for the parties agree that the writ petition may be disposed of directing the Tribunal to decide petitioner's pending appeal at the earliest.
2. Considering the fact that the writ petition is preferred against an interim order and the appeal itself is pending consideration before the Tribunal for the last more than six months, the Tribunal shall do well to dispose of the pending appeal preferred by the petitioner at the earliest, preferably within a period of three months from the date of submission of certified copy of this order.
3. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.
4. The writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Judge
Prashant Kumar Mishra

ashu