

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 878 OF 2016**

Kumari Rukhsana Begum D/o Late Md. Umar, Aged About 46 Years Occupation Assistance Sub Inspector (M) Working Under The Office Of Superintendent Of Police (P. T. S.) P. S. Kotwali, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Home And Police Affairs, Secretariat, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, P. S. And Post Rakhi, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Director General Of Police, Police Head Quarter, Civil Line, P. S. Civil Line, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Inspector General Of Police, Office Of The Inspector General Of Police Durg Range, Durg, Chhattisgarh.
4. The Secretary Finance, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
5. Additional Director General Of Police (Training), Police Head Quarter, Civil Line, P. S. Civil Line, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
6. Joint Director Of Division (Funds Account And Pension) Durg, District Durg (Chhattisgarh).
7. The Director (Treasury, Audit And Accounts) Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

----**Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Badruddin Khan, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. S.P. Kale, Dy. Advocate General

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

29/04/2016

1. Heard.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the parties would fairly submit that the issue involved in the present writ petition has already been decided by this Court in WP(S) No. 6698/2014

(Rammani Tripathi Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others) on 24.12.2014 and the present writ petition may also be disposed of in similar terms.

3. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order passed in WP(S) No.6698/2014. However, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondents would be at liberty to decide the representation strictly in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
JUDGE

Tiwari