

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**W.P.(S) No. 859 of 2016**

B. N. Singh S/o late Shri Ram Pariksha Singh, aged about 61 years, retired as Officer Scale-1, Chhattisgarh Rajya Gramin Bank, resident of L-4, Vinoba Nagar, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (CG)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Chhattisgarh Rajya Gramin Bank through the President, Chhattisgarh Rajya Gramin Bank, Head Office, Raipur (CG)
2. The Managing Director, Chhattisgarh Rajya Gramin Bank, Head Office, Raipur (CG)
3. The Regional Manager, Chhattisgarh Rajya Gramin Bank, Regional Office, Raipur (CG)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Ms. Sharmila Singhai, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Shri B. D. Guru, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****21/03/2016**

The limited prayer of the petitioner in the instant case is for releasing the annual increments to the petitioner which after completion of the effect of the order of punishment of stoppage of increments without cumulative effect to be fixed properly.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the Regional Manager had filed an affidavit in Writ Petition No. 816 of 1996 wherein he has made a statement that the punishment of stoppage of increments imposed upon the petitioner would not have cumulative effect. Therefore, completion of the period of punishment, the petitioner would be entitled for usual fixation of increment and the notional benefits thereupon.

3. However, the same has been denied by the respondents and therefore, after filing of the affidavit, the petitioner had again filed a representation on 14.03.2015 Annexure P-4 which is still pending.

Meanwhile, the petitioner on attaining the age of superannuation has retired. Hence, counsel for the petitioner prays that the respondents may be directed to release the unpaid increments to the petitioner forthwith.

4. Counsel appearing for the respondents submits that the respondents shall take a prompt decision so far as the entitlement of the petitioner for fixation of proper increments are concerned from the date the punishment period is over.

5. In view of the undertaking given by the State counsel, the present writ petition is disposed of at this juncture with a direction to the respondents that they shall decide the representation of the petitioner dated 14.03.2015 Annexure P-4 as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from today. In case the representation is decided in favour of the Petitioner the Respondents should also ensure that the Petitioner receives the consequential benefits also within a further period of two months from the date of decision of the representation.

6. Needless to mention that the petitioner would also be at liberty to approach the Authorities by making a fresh representation if he so desires.

Sd/-
(P Sam Koshy)
Judge