

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 1211 of 2016

M/s Sarwamangala Sales & Services Pvt. Ltd. Through : Arun Kumar Lath, Director M/s Sarwamangala Sales & Services Pvt. Ltd. S/o Late Shri H.S. Lath, Aged About 61 Years, R/o Nirala Nagar, Old Bus Stand, Post Main Post Office & P.S. Tarbahar, Civil And Revenue Distt. Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. The Regional Transport Authority, Raipur Division District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Presiding Officer, State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. M/s Raipur Bus Services, G.E. Road Old Pulgaon Naka, Durg, Civil And Revenue District Durg (Chhattisgarh)
6. M/s Jai Jhulelal Bus Services, New High Tech Bus Stand, Village Bodri, Bilaspur, Civil & Revenue District, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Harshal Chouhan, Advocate
For State	:	Shri B. Gop Kumar, Dy.A.G.

S.B.:Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

06/05/2016

Heard.

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner aggrieved by order dated 20.4.2016 by which petitioner's application for issuance of interim order

restraining issuance of permission to other bus operators has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Tribunal has rejected application stating that there is no such order despite objection with regard to the time schedule and the route.
3. The matter arises out of order passed on interim application. The Tribunal was not inclined to grant an order restraining other operators on the time table and the route for which permit has been granted. The order does not suffer from any error of jurisdiction. Looking to the nature of relief, I am not inclined to interfere with the matter as the appeal is pending and it would be open for the Tribunal to decide all the issue on merits.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Tribunal may be directed to decide the appeal as early as possible.
5. It is directed that the appeal shall be decided as early as possible.
6. The petition is accordingly finally disposed off.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge