

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

M.Cr.C. No.2714 OF 2016

Ravindra Yadav, aged about 48 years, S/o Late Rupau Ram Yadav,
Occupation – Lecturer High School Parasbod, R/o Village Bhatapara,
Ward No.1, Saja, Police Station & Tahsil Saja, District Bemetara (C.G.),
Civil & Revenue District Bemetara (C.G.)

... Applicant

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh, through Police of Police Station – Saja, Tahsil –
Saja, District Bemetara (C.G.), Civil & Revenue District Bemetara (C.G.)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. R. K. Agrawal, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	:	Mr. Shashank Thakur, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

09/06/2016

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 439 of CrPC for grant of bail to Applicant, who has been arrested on 11.4.2016 in connection with Crime No.33/14 registered at Police Station Saja, District Bemetara, for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120 (B), 34 of the IPC.
2. As per the prosecution case, the allegation against the present applicant is that the present applicant along with the co-accused Hemant Verma is said to have provided fake Teacher Eligibility Test (TET) Certificate to various persons on charging money from those persons for the purpose of getting employment at Janpad Panchayat, Saja, District Bemetara.
3. Counsel for the applicant submits that the co-accused Hemant Verma has already been released on bail by this Court on 28.03.2016 in MCRC No.1081/2016. He further submits that the nature of allegations levelled against the co-accused Hemant Verma is similar to the

allegations that are levelled against the present applicant and, therefore, the benefit of bail may also be extended to the present applicant.

4. State counsel on verifying the case diary and also after perusal of the order granting bail to the co-accused Hemant Verma does not dispute the fact that the nature of allegations levelled against the present applicant is similar to the nature of allegations levelled against the co-accused Hemant Verma.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the view that it is a fit case where the Applicant can be released on bail.

6. Accordingly, the application for grant of bail is allowed. It is directed that in case the Applicant furnishes a personal bond for a sum of **Rs. 20,000/- with one surety** of the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court then he shall be released on bail on the following further conditions:-

(i) that the Applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(ii) that the Applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

(iii) that the Applicant shall appear before the Trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
V. Judge