

CANCELLED

CANCELLED

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR [C.G.]


MISC. CIVIL APPEAL NO. 222 OF 2005

PLAINTS

P.R. No.
Presented by Sam.
dated 08.08.13

Accepted
12/9/13

- 1] Sneh Kumar Singh S/o Tej Singh, aged 63 years.
- 2] Rahi Singh W/o Yashwant Kumar Singh, aged 56 years.
- 3] Rajesh Kumar Singh S/o Yashwant Kumar Singh, aged 33 years.
- 4] Rakesh Kumar Singh S/o Yashwant Kumar Singh, aged 31 years.
- 5] Sanat Kumar Singh S/o Tej Singh, aged 53 years.
- 6] Upamawati Singh W/o Tej Singh, aged 80 years.
- 7] Vindhyawasini Singh D/o Tej Singh, aged 50 years.
- 8] Madhuri Singh D/o Tej Singh, aged 55 years.
- 9] Kumari Bai W/o Jeet Singh, aged 75 years.
- 10] Shashant Singh S/o Jeet Singh, aged 56 years.
- 11] Ramakant Singh S/o Jeet Singh, aged 48 years.
- 12] Purnima Singh D/o Jeet Singh, aged 53 years.
- 13] Ku. Rekha Singh D/o Jeet Singh, aged 44 years.
- 14] Ku. Sunita Singh D/o Jeet Singh, aged 44 years.
- 15] Ku. Pratima Singh D/o Jeet Singh, aged 40 years.
- 16] Ku. Sarita Singh D/o Jeet Singh, aged 38 years.
- 17] Roop Singh S/o Bhan Singh, aged 72 years.
- 18] Anoop Singh S/o Gautam Singh, aged 65 years.
- 19] Dharendra Singh S/o Gautam Singh, aged 52 years,



CANCELLED

CANCELLED

CANCELLED

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR [C.G.]

MISC. CIVIL APPEAL NO. 222 OF 2005

APPELLANTS

1] Sneh Kumar Singh S/o Tej Singh,

1(a) Smt. Vijay Laxmi aged about 63 years,
wife of late Sneh Kumar Singh

(b) Smt. Minaxi Singh D/o Late Sneh
Kumar Singh, aged about 42 years,

Sangeeta D/o Late Sneh Kumar Singh,
aged 35 years,

(d) Namita D/o Late Sneh Kumar Singh,
aged about 32 years,

All resident of village and Post
Mulmula, Tahsil Pamgarh, District
Jangjir-Champa (C.G.)



*Amended
by Amble Court
Order dated
20.1.14*

*Attested
24.1.14*

*P.R. No. 37
Presented by Sri...
dated 08.01.14*

*Attested
ARJ
12/9.13*

9] Kumari Bai W/o Jeet Singh, aged
75 years.

10] Shashant Singh S/o Jeet Singh,
aged 56 years.

11] Ramakant Singh S/o Jeet Singh,
aged 48 years.

12] Purnima Singh D/o Jeet Singh,
aged 53 years.

13] Ku. Rekha Singh D/o Jeet Singh,
aged 44 years.

14] Ku. Sunita Singh D/o Jeet Singh,
aged 44 years.

15] Ku. Pratima Singh D/o Jeet Singh,
aged 40 years.

16] Ku. Sarita Singh D/o Jeet Singh,
aged 38 years.

17] Roop Singh S/o Bhan Singh, aged
72 years.

18] Anoop Singh S/o Gautam Singh,
aged 65 years.

19] Dharendra Singh S/o Gautam
Singh, aged 52 years,

②

20] Diggpal Singh S/o Dewa Singh, aged 56 years.

21] Shanker Singh S/o Dewa Singh, aged 40 years.

Sl. No. 1 to 21 resident of at village and Post Mulmula, Tahsil Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa [C.G.]

22] Kumari Singh W/o Bir Singh, aged 58 years,

23] Chandrakant Singh S/o Bir Singh, aged 35 years,

24] Dhir Singh S/o Bhan Singh, aged 64 years.

25] Shiv Singh S/o Bhan Singh, aged 60 years.

26] Bhoop Singh S/o Gautam Singh, aged 70 years.

27] Narendra Singh S/o Gautam Singh, aged 54 years.

28] Sharan Singh S/o Dewa Singh, aged 54 years.

29] Shambhoo Singh S/o Dewa Singh, aged 45 years.

Sl. No. 22 to 29 resident of at village Mudpar, Post Office Tekari, Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur [C.G.]

30] Mangani Singh S/o Mukund Singh, aged 61 years, resident of at & P.O. Kosa via Gopal Nagar, Tahsil Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa [C.G.].

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1] Indraman Singh S/o Ganesh Ram, aged 70 years, resident of at & Post Mulmula, Via Gopal Nagar, Tahsil Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa [C.G.]

2] Nirmala Bai Kashyap W/o Ram Gopal, aged 60 years.

3] Sharad Kashyap S/o Ramgopal, aged 36 years,

- 4] Sudhir Kashyap S/o Ramgopal, aged 26 years.
- 5] Smt. Sushama Gour D/o Ramgaopal, aged 32 years.
- 6] Ku. Rashmi Kashyap D/o Ramgopal, aged 30 years.
- 7] Ku. Archana Kashyap D/o Ramgaopal, aged 28 years.

Sl. No. 2 to 7 resident of Raj Kishore Nagar, near Telephone Exchange, Bilaspur [C.G.]

- 8] Smt. Bindiya Bai Kashyap D/o Ganesh Ram, aged 70 years, resident of at Tifra, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur [CG.]

- 9] Smt. Indra Bai Kashyap W/o Daua Ram, aged 65 years, [Indra Bai D/o Ganesh Ram Kashyap] resident of at & Post Konar Via Gopal Nagar, Tahsil Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa [C.G.]

- 10] Chinta Ram S/o Ram Chandia Sai ami, aged 78 years.

- 11] Laxman S/o Chaitu Bhaina, aged 40 year.

[Sl. No. 10 and 11 both resident of at and Post Mulmula, Via Gopal Nagar, Tahsil Pamgarh, District Janjgir-Champa [C.G.]

- 12] Sita Bai W/o Lakhan Singh, aged 55 years.

- 13] Devendra Kumar Singh S/o Lakhan Singh, aged 32 years.

- 14] Dev Kumar Singh S/o Lakhan Singh, aged 30 years.

[Sl. No. 12 to 14, all resident of at Matiya, P.O. Tekari, Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur [C.G.]

MEMORANDUM OF MISC. CIVIL APPEAL UNDER ORDER 43 RULE

1[K] READ WITH SECTION 104 OF CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE.

Dissatisfied by the order dated 06.12.2004 of Shri Banjare 3rd

Additional District Judge Janjgir in M.J. Case No. 100/2004 Sneh Kumar

10/2/04

Janjgir-Champa District, C.G.]

10-A Smt. Aghan Bai Wd/o Chinta Ram,
aged about 55 years,

Amended by
Honble. Court
order date
20/1/14

10-B Leeladhar S/o Late Chinta Ram, aged
about 25 years,

10-C Pulas S/o Late Chinta Ram, aged
about 17 years,

Original
(20/1/14)

10-D Pintu S/o Late Chinta Ram, aged
about 15 years,

Attested

10-E Tikadhar S/o Late Chinta Ram, aged
about 10 years,

24.1.14

10-F Hema Bai D/o Late Chinta Ram, w/o
Laxmi Prasad, aged about 22 years,
resident of village Kosamdih, P.S. and
Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur (C.G)

MEMORANDUM

1[K] READ W

Dissatisfied

Additional District

10-G Ku. Puna D/o Late Chinta Ram, aged
about 6 years

44
NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Misc. Appeal No.222 of 2005

Sneh Kumar Singh (dead) through Smt. Vijay Laxmi & Ors.

---- Appellants

Versus

Indraman Singh & Ors.

---- Respondents

For appellants : Smt. Seema Singh, Advocate/

For respondents 1 to 7 and 10A to 10G : Shri Arun Kumar Bajaj,
Advocate on behalf of Shri Manoj Paranjpe.

None for respondent No.3 though represented.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Chandra Bhushan Bajpai

Order On Board

23.12.2016

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that she has no instruction on behalf of the appellants.

2. In view of the submission of learned counsel for the appellants, the instant appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. The appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Chandra Bhushan Bajpai)

JUDGE