

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 5495 of 2016

1. Lakhapati Markam S/o Suraj Singh, Aged About 44 Years R/o Kukri Bahar, Post- Putipakhna, Police Station- Pasan, Tahsil Pondi Uproda, District Korba, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Shiv Singh Marivi, S/o Ramroop Maravi, Aged About 27 Years R/o Village Muhri, Tahsil- Pondi Uporoda, District Korba, (Chhattisgarh)
3. Vishal Singh Uike, S/o Samundar Singh Uike, Aged About 30 Years R/o Village Sendur Gadh, Dhawalpur, Police Station Pasan, Tahsil Pondi Uproda, District Korba, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of School Education Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Police Station- Mandir Hasaud, New Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Director, Lok Sikshan Sanchanahalaya, Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. Collector, Korba, District Korba, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Ravi Kumar Bhagat, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Shri D.R. Minj, Dy. G.A.

S.B.:Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

05/10/2016

Heard.

1. The petitioners, who were appointed as Part Time Sweepers on a fixed salary, the then existing Collector rate, have filed this petition for a

direction that they are entitled for grant of minimum wages as per minimum wage fixed vide letter dated 25.04.2013 (Annexure P/5).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a representation has also been moved by the petitioners for grant of minimum wages, however, the same are not being extended to the petitioners.

3. The order of appointment of the petitioners show that they were appointed as Part Time Sweeper in the year 2011 on wages applicable in case of Part Time Sweeper, on Collector rates. Therefore, if the Collector wages have been revised, the case of the petitioners is also required to be considered for grant of appropriate and existing minimum wages prescribed by the Collector. Accordingly, the petitioners' case should also be considered and appropriate orders in their case should be passed by the respondent No.4 for grant of appropriate wages, according to current rate of wages applicable to the category of employment, in which the petitioners are employed.

4. With the aforesaid observations, the petition is finally disposed off.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge