

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 4738 of 2016

Sadanand Yadav S/o Bhujbal Yadav, Aged About 46 Years Occupation
Service Presently Psoted And Working As Peon, Government Higher
Secondary School Dhourabhata, Block Tamnar, District Raigarh
Chhattisarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School
Education Mahandi Mantralaya Naya Raipur Post Office & Police
Staiton Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Director, Directorate Of Public Instructions Chhattisgarh, Block 3,
Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distirct Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. District Education Officer, Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
4. Block Education Officer, Block Tamnar, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
5. Principal, Government Higher Secondary School Dhourabhata, Block
Tamnar, Distirct Raigarh Chhattisarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Dhani Ram Patel, Advocate.
For State	:	Mr. S.P. Kale, Dy. Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

15/09/2016

Heard.

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition seeking grant of regular pay scale from the date of appointment as Contingency Paid Employee i.e. from 07.08.1992. He would submit that in view of the order passed by the State Government on 09.09.2008 and the judgment rendered by this Court in W.P. No.4822 of 2005, the petitioner is entitled for regular pay scale from 07.08.1992.

In view of the fact that the petitioner is praying for similar order as has

been passed in W.P. No.4822 of 2005 (Swawroop Ram Dhirtlahare Vs. State of C.G. And Ors.), the present writ petition is disposed off with direction that in the event petitioner submits a representation before the competent authority, the said authority shall consider and decide the representation after verifying as to whether case of the petitioner falls within any Government instructions for grant of regular pay scale issued by the Department and further as to whether case of the present petitioner is similar to Swawroop Ram Dhirtlahare in W.P. No.4822 of 2005.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and it is for the concerned authority to decide the representation strictly in accordance with the extent Government instructions issued in this regard by the concerned department and the observation made hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion in favour of the petitioner.

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
J U D G E