

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No.4516 of 2016**

- D. C. Verma S/o Late Shri N. P. Verma Aged About 60 Years Posted As Assistant Manager, At District Office Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, Janjgir District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Cooperative Societies Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya New Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, The Managing Director, Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, 880, Civil Lines, Head Office, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Secretary, Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, 880, Civil Lines, Head Office, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Manager (Establishment), Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, 880, Civil Lines, Head Office, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri V. R. Tiwari, Advocate
For Respondent No.1:	:	Shri D. R. Minz, Dy.GA
For Respondent No.2 to 4 :	:	Shri Keshav Dewangan, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**Order On Board****06/09/2016**

By filing this petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 03-03-2016, by which an order of recovery has been issued against the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though reply to show cause notice has been submitted by the petitioner, his reply has not been properly considered and the explanations offered to shortage, if any, have been ignored and the order impugned has been passed. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, could not dispute that there exists an alternative remedy of Statutory Appeal.

3. In view of aforesaid circumstances, I am not inclined to interfere with the order impugned as the petitioner has alternative remedy to seek redressal of the grievance.

4. The petition is accordingly disposed off with liberty to file appeal against the impugned order, under the provisions of the applicable Rules.

5. As far as the petitioner's apprehension that the appeal may be dismissed as barred by its limitation, the law will take its own course because the petitioner may file application for condonation of delay in filing the appeal. If such application is filed, it is presumed that the appellate authority shall consider the same also in accordance with law.

SD/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge