

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 4001 of 2016

Awdhesh Kumar Patel S/o Shri Dinesh Kumar Patel, Aged About 29 Years R/o Village Bahama, Post Bahama, P.S. Lailunga, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh Presently Posted As Assistant Veterinary Field Officer, Veterinary Hospital Lailunga District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Veterinary Services Chhattisgarh Raipur, Mantralaya, Mahaanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Director, Veterinary Services Chhattisgarh Raipur Office Of Director Opp. Guru Teg Bahadur Duhyam G.E. Road, Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Dy, Director Veterinary Services Raigarh, Office Of Director Veterinary Services District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

Shri Ghanshyam Patel, counsel for the petitioner/s.
Shri B.Gopakumar, Dy.A.G. for the State.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

20/12/2016

The transfer order is under challenge on the ground that it is without any administrative exigency and even the place of posting of the petitioner has been wrongly mentioned as Bonda whereas the petitioner was already transferred from Bonda to Lailunga on 15/07/2014, in compliance of which, petitioner joined at Lailunga and at the time of passing of the impugned order, he was actually working at Lailunga.

2. Learned State counsel submits that there is a typographical mistake in mentioning the name of place of posting of the petitioner.
3. Though it has been stated by the State counsel that there is typographical mistake, there is no order of the State Government modifying or correcting the

transfer order. Therefore, the order, as it stands in respect of the petitioner, cannot be given effect to. It would be for the Government to take decision regarding posting of the petitioner at Lailunga should be changed or not.

It would be open for the competent authority to change the transfer order or post some other officer at Lailunga, subject to administrative exigency.

4. This petition is accordingly disposed off with a direction that until some other order is passed in respect of the petitioner, the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall not be given effect to.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge