

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 3724 of 2016

Smt. Ahilya Bai W/o Shri Hemant Verma, Aged About 68 Years R/o
Durgapara Chowk, Laxmi Nagar, Supela, Bhilai District Durg Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Indirawati Bhawan, Mantralaaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Municipal Corporation, Bhilai, Through Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Bhilai District Durg Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

Shri Tarkeshwar Nande, counsel for the petitioner/s.
Shri S.P. Kale, Dy. A.G. for the State.
Shri H.B. Agrawal, Senior Advocate with Ms. Nandini Kashyap, Advocate for the Corporation, Bhilai.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

12/08/2016

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner's claim that regular services rendered by the petitioner as regular employee of erstwhile Special Area Development Authority (for short "SADA") Bhilai, where he was initially appointed, are liable to be counted for the purposes of pensionable services. He submits that identical issue has been decided by this Court vide order dated 08.02.2016 in batch of petitions WP(S) No.4459 of 2014 and other cases.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents do not dispute that the petitioner's claim of being identically placed as the petitioner, in whose favour, orders were passed in the case referred to above.

3. In view of the above, this petition is also liable to be allowed and is allowed. Let the same benefit accrue to the petitioner as directed by this Court vide order dated 08.02.2016 in similar petition.

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
J U D G E

Rekha