

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 2854 of 2016

1. Ishwar Lal Yadav S/o Munna Lal Yadav, Aged About 27 Years R/o Sai Mandir, Ward No. 18, Tulsipur, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh).
2. Gitesh Kumar, S/o Hemant Kumar, Aged About 25 Years R/o Nahanda, Tahsil Dondilohara, District Balod (Chhattisgarh).

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary Department Of Technical Education, Manpower & Planning, Mantralaya, Mahandi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
2. Chhattisgarh Public Service Commission, Through Secretary, Shankar Nagar Road, Bhagat Singh Square Raipur 492001.
3. All India Council For Technical Education, Through Its Chairman, Chandralok Building, 7th Floor, Jan Path, New Delhi 110001.
4. The Director, Directorate Of Technical Education, Chhattisgarh, H O D Building, Block-3, 3rd & 4th Floor, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

---- Respondents

Shri Mateen Siddiqui, counsel for the petitioner/s.

Shri S.P.kale, Shri Y.C.Sharma and Shri Sandeep Dubey, counsel for respective respondents.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

14/07/2016

This petition has been filed by the petitioner on the apprehension that for certain procedural anomaly, which is not due to fault of the petitioner, in the event petitioner succeeds in the written examination and called for interview, he may be declared ineligible.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by way of abundant caution, the petitioner has filed this petition because if challenge is made at later stage, his claim may be rejected on the ground that he participated in the

selection procedure without any objection or protest.

3. It appears that even before declaration of written examination result, which alone will decide whether the petitioner may be called for interview or not, this petition has been filed.

4. In the considered opinion of this Court, this petition is absolutely premature at this stage. In the event, there is any likelihood of rejection of petitioner's candidature despite having cleared in the written examination, it will be open for the petitioner to revive this petition.

5. With the aforesaid observation, this petition is finally disposed off.

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge