

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 2023 of 2016

Ghasi Ram Burman S/o Late Shri S.C. Burman, Aged About 65 Years R/o
Mulmulla, District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through : Secretary, Department Of Urban
Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhavan, Capital Complex, New
Raipur, P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Director, Department Of Urban Administration And Development,
Mahanadi Bhavan, Capital Complex, New Raipur, P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur
(Chhattisgarh)
3. Municipal Corporation, Korba, Through Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Korba District Korba (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Sudeep Agrawal, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy. Govt. Advocate
For Municipal Corporation	:	Shri H.B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate with Shri Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate

S.B.:Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

14/06/2016

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner's claim that regular services rendered by the petitioner as regular employee of erstwhile Special Area Development Authority (for short "SADA") Korba, where he was initially appointed are liable to be counted for the purposes of pensionable services. He submits that identical issue has been decided by this Court vide order dated 08.02.2016 in batch of petitions WP(S) No.4459 of 2014 and other cases.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents do not dispute the petitioner's claim of being identically placed as the petitioner, in whose favour, orders were passed in the case referred to above.

3. In view of the above, this petition is also liable to be allowed and is allowed. Let the same benefit accrue to the petitioner as directed by this Court vide order dated 08.02.2016 in similar petition.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge