

CF 1002

③


IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPURWRIT PETITION(S) No. 5193 OF 2006PETITIONER

Gautam Prasad Das Aged about 53 Years ✓
 S/o Late Shri P.P.Das
 Occupation: Service
 Presently working as
 Additional Superintending Engineer,
 Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board,
 R/o Qtr. No. N.C. 6, CSEB New
 Colony, Tifra, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

S 230/06
 T61 Bhadur
 P.R. No. 19/9/06
 Presented dated.

VERSUSRESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh ✓
 Through Secretary
 Government of Chhattisgarh
 Department of Energy
 Mantralaya, DKS Bhawan
 Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, ✓
 Through its Secretary
 CSEB Headquarters
 Danganiya, Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Chairman ✓
 Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board
 Danganiya, Raipur
4. Superintending Engineer (O&M) ✓
 Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board
 Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
5. Shri A.P.Namdeo ✓
 Secretary (Retired)
 Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board
 Through Secretary, CSEB Danganiya,
 Raipur, Chhattisgarh
6. Shri G.L.Patel ✓
 Superintending Engineer (O&M)
 Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board
 Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
7. Shri A.R.Choudhary ✓
 Retired Superintending Engineer
 (STRE) Construction Circle, CSEB
 Bilaspur, Through Secretary, CSEB
 Danganiya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
8. Shri A.N.Agrawal ✓
 Additional Chief Engineer (Retired)
 Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board
 R/o HIG (R) B-26, Parijat Extension Colony
 Ring Road Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh



RECEIVED-COPY
 19/11/06
 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

4

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OR WRITS IN THE NATURE OF
DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS ORDER OR ORDERS, CERTIORARI,
MANDAMUS OR THE LIKE



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No.5193 of 2006

- Gautam Prasad Das

---- Petitioner

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh and others

---- Respondent

For Petitioner
For State

Mr. R.S.Marhas, Advocates
Mr. Shashank Thakur, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra
Order On Board

16/11/2016

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would plead no instructions in the matter.
2. No other counsel is appearing on behalf of the petitioner to press this petition.
3. In view of the above, this Court is left with no other option except to dismiss this petition for want of prosecution.

Sd/-
Prashant Kumar Mishra
Judge

Shyna