

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
[CHHATTISGARH]

Misc. Appeal No. 122 OF 2005

Division Bench

APPELLANT
INSURER

✓ Oriental Insurance Company Limited
through its Branch Manager, Branch
Office, Kamthi Line, Rajnandgaon,
through Divisional Manager, Near
Normal School, **Bilaspur** CG).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS
CLAIMANT

✓ 1. Smt. Janki Bai w/o Chain Singh Gond
aged 40 years, r/o Village - Seonikhurd
(Kosatola), Tehsil & District Kawardha,
presently residing at through Pramila
Mourya, Chingrajpara, Tehsil & District
Bilaspur CG).

DRIVER

✓ 2. Bhushan alias Chandra Bhushan Isai,
aged 38 years, r/o Takhatpur, District
Bilaspur, presently residing at
Samnapur, P.S. Rengakhar, District
Kawardha (CG).

OWNER

✓ 3. Jallu Singh s/o Ramdas Pardhi, aged
30 years, through Rajendra Singh s/o
Bhuwan Singh Thakur, r/o Ward
No.11, Kawardha, Dist. **Kawardha**
(CG).

POSSESSION HOLDER

✓ 4. Rajendra Singh Thakur s/o Bhuwan
Singh Thakur, aged 34 years, r/o Ward
No.11, Kawardha, Dist. **Kawardha** (CG).

P.R. No. 1540/2005
Presented by Shri. B. S. Pandey
dated 13.06.05

APPEAL U/S 173 OF MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, AGAINST THE
AWARD/ORDER DATED 5/3/2005 PASSED BY THE TENTH
ADDITIONAL MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL (FTC), BILASPUR
IN C.T. NO.67/2004.



39

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Misc. Appeal No.122 of 2006

Oriental Insurance Company Limited

---- Appellant

Versus

Smt. Janki Bai & Ors.

---- Respondents

For appellant : Shri Amit Bakshi, Advocate on behalf of
Shri Ghanshyam Patel, Advocate.

For respondents 2 & 4 : Shri DC Verma, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Chandra Bhushan Bajpai

Order On Board

23.12.2016

The matter is listed for hearing on IA No.01/12 under Order 5 Rule 20 of CPC for substituted notice to respondents 1 & 3 through paper publication.

2. On perusal of the order sheet dated 14.6.2012, it appears that the appellant has been granted two weeks to furnish correct and complete address of respondents on the basis of peremptory order. Counsel for the appellant failed to demonstrate on which date he has complied the order dated 14.6.2012.

3. With this, as the appellant failed to comply with order dated 14.6.2012, the effect of the instant appeal would be automatic dismissal. The appeal is deemed automatically dismissed in compliance of order dated 14.6.2012.

Sd/-

(Chandra Bhushan Bajpai)

JUDGE