

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.6210 of 2016**

Chitendra Kumar Verma, S/o Ashwani Kumar Verma, aged about 27 years, presently posted and working as Assistant Teacher (Panchayat), Government Primary School, Baiharsari, Block Bemetara, District Bemetara (CG).

Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat & Rural Development, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District-Raipur, (Chhattisgarh).
2. Director, Directorate Of Panchayat & Rural Development, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
3. Collector, Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).
4. Zila Panchayat, Bemetara, through Its Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).
5. Janpad Panchayat, Bemetara, through Its Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).
6. District Education Officer, Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).
7. Block Education Officer, Bemetara (Chhattisgarh).

Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Vivek Kumar Shrivastava, Advocate.

For State : Shri Avinash Singh, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****11/11/2016****(1)** Heard.

(2) The petitioner is aggrieved against the order for promotion on the post of Teacher (Panchayat) under Rule 18(1)(b) of the Panchayat Service (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1999 (for short "the Rules of 1999").

(3) The petitioner has remedy to make a representation before the respondent No.4 i.e. Zila Panchayat, Bemetara. If such representation is made by the petitioner in accordance with Rule 18(1)(b) of the Rules of 1999 before the said authority, the authority shall consider and decide the same in accordance with Rule 18(1)(b) of the Rules of 1999 preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of representation of the petitioners.

(4) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authority may decide the representation on its own merits.

(5) With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-