

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 6171 of 2016

Antram Maravi, S/o Shri Samhan Singh Maravi, aged about 51 years, working as Upper Division Teacher at Govt. Middle School Kachharpara, Block Gourela, District Bilaspur (CG).

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of School Education Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Schedule Tribes And Schedule Caste Development, Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. District Education Officer, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
4. Assistant Commissioner Tribal Development, Department, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
5. Bhanwar Singh Maravi, working as Head Master (Middle School), posted at Middle School Jhiriyatola Block Marwahi, District Bilaspur (CG).
6. Indrabhan Singh, working as Head Master (Middle School), posted at Govt. Middle School Gavarkhoj, Block Marwahi, District Bilaspur (CG).
7. Rewa Singh Otti, working as Head Master (Middle School), posted at Govt. Middle School Jamaikhurd, Block Pendra, District Bilaspur (CG).

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

S.B.:Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

11/11/2016

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition seeking direction to the respondents to consider his case for promotion to the post of Head Master, Middle School with all consequential benefits including pay scale and seniority from the date when his junior has been promoted i.e. from 27.04.2015.
3. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that the petitioner shall submit documents sought for by the Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Development Department, Bilaspur along with a representation, within a period of one month from today. On submission of such representation, respondent No.3 shall consider and decide his representation by a speaking order as early as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of submission of representation.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case and authority concerned would be at liberty to decide the same in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-