

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No.5779 of 2016**

1. Itwar Singh Rathiya S/O Late Shri Amar Singh Rathiya Aged About 47 Years Occupation Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Primary School Sirdahi R/O Gram Sirdahi Thana Kapu Tah. Dharamjaygarh Revenue & Civil District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).
2. Smt. Dhanmati Khatarji W/O Shri Nilam Kumar Khatarji Aged About 33 Years Occupation Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Primary School Indkalo R/O Vijaynagar R/O Gram Indkalo Thana Kapu Tah. Dharamjaygarh Revenue & Civil District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).
3. Jayprakash Minj S/O Shri Nanuram Minj Aged About 42 Years Occupation Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Primary School Basantpur R/O Dhondhgaon, R/O Gram Basantpur Thana Kapu Tah. Dharamjaygarh Revenue & Civil District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).
4. Lakhanram Rathiya S/O Shri Chatarsay Rathiya Aged About 54 Years Occupation Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Primary School Kharratikra R/O Gram Kharratikra Thana Kapu Tah. Dharamjaygarh Revenue & Civil District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).

Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Panchayat, Gramin Vikash Vibhag, Mantralaya Naya Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
2. Director, Panchayat Sanchalnalay Chhattisgarh, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
3. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh) .
5. Collector, Raigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).
6. District Education Officer, Raigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).
7. Block Education Officer, Dharamjaigarh Block Dharamjaigarh District Dharamjaigarh (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Shri Abdul Wahab Khan, Advocate
 For Respondent/State : Shri Prasun Bhaduri, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

21/10/2016

- (1) Heard on admission.
- (2) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are working as Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) since last many years, neither they have been given *Kramonnati* nor they have been given *Samayman Vetaman* (time bound pay scale) and therefore, they may be permitted to file representation before the competent authority for redressal of their grievances. To this, counsel for the State has no objection.
- (3) Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.
- (4) Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed off. In the eventuality of making any representation by the petitioners highlighting their grievances, the same is expected to be decided by the authority concerned expeditiously in accordance with law.
- (5) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case and authority concerned would be at liberty to decide the representation in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
 Judge

L/-