

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.5671 of 2016**

1. Somnath Barman, S/o Shri Sukrit Lal Barman, aged about 27 years, R/o Village Komo, P.S and Tahsil Dabhara, Block Dabhara, District Janjgir-Champa (CG).
2. Smt. Tijmati Barman, W/o Shri Atmaram Barman, aged about 36 years, R/o Village Komo, P.S. and Tahsil Dabhara, Block Dabhara, District Janjgir-Champa (CG).

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Department of School, Education Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur (CG).
2. Director, Lok Sikshan Sanchanalaya, Indrawati Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur (CG).
3. Collector, Janjgir-Champa, District Janjgir-Champa (CG).
4. District Education Officer, Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa (CG).

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Shri Tarun Dansena, Advocate
 For Respondents : Shri Gary Mukhopadhyay, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****18/10/2016****(1)** Heard.

(2) The petitioners, who were appointed as Part Time Sweeper on a fixed salary of Rs.810/-, the then existing collector rate, have filed this petition for a direction that they are entitled for grant of minimum wages as per minimum wage fixed vide letter dated 26.09.2015.

(3) Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a representation

has also been moved by the petitioners for grant of minimum wages, however, the same are not being extended to the petitioners.

(4) The order of appointment of the petitioners shows that they were appointed as Part Time Sweeper in the year 2011 on wages applicable in case of Part Time Sweeper, on collectorate rates. Therefore, if the collectorate wages have been revised, the case of the petitioners is also required to be considered for grant of appropriate and existing minimum wages prescribed by the Collector. Accordingly, the petitioners case should also be considered and appropriate orders in their case should be passed by the respondent no.4 for grant of appropriate wages, according to current rate of wages applicable to the category of employment, in which the petitioners are employed.

(5) With the aforesaid observations, the petition is finally disposed off.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-