

**NAFR**

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**WPC No.2267 of 2015**

1. Uttara Kumar Sahu S/o Shri S.K. Sahu, Aged About 40 Years R/o Village Ghuru, Awas Para, Gokuldham, Thana Chakarbhata, Post Tifra, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
2. Parmeshwar Prasad Sahu, S/o Shri Uttara Kumar Sahu, ( Natural Gurdian) Aged About 12 Years R/o Village Ghuru, Awas Para, Gokuldham, Thana Chakarbhata, Post Tifra, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. Union Of India Through The Secretary, Ministry Of Human Resource Development Department, New Delhi, India
2. The Secretary, Department Of School Education & Literacy, New Delhi, India
3. Assistant Commissioner, (J.N.V.S.T.) Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya Head Quarter, Noida U.P.
4. Joint Secretary, (J.N.V.S.T.) C.B.S.E. P.S. 1-2 I P Extension, Industrial Area Parpatganj Delhi, 110092
5. Deputy Commissioner, Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya ( N.V.S.) Regional Office Bhopal M.P.
6. The Secretary , Central Board Of Secondary Educaiton New Delhi, India
7. Principal, Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya, Malhar, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh. 495551

**---- Respondent**

---

For Petitioners : Shri Somkant Verma, Advocate  
For Respondent No.1 : Shri Ashwani Shukla, Advocate  
Service of notice is not complete on respondents No.2 & 3.  
For Respondent No.4 & 6 : Shri P. R. Patankar, Advocate  
None for other respondents, though served.

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**

**Order On Board**

**05/02/2016**

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that the grievance of the petitioners is mainly against the inaction on the part of respondent No.6, who has not taken decision

on petitioner's representation for correction of result.

2. It is the assertion of the petitioners that they appeared as a student in the entrance examination of Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya, Malhar and in the result, their names were to be described as Urban Category but they have been wrongly shown in the rural category. Representation to this effect has been made for correction of their result, but no decision has been taken thereon.

3. In view of above, it is directed that the respondent No.6 shall consider the representation of petitioners on its own merits and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

4. With the aforesaid direction, this petition is finally disposed off.

Sd/-  
**Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**  
Judge