

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No.5173 of 2016

Omkar Prasad Sahu, S/o Shri P.L. Sahu, aged about 37 years,
R/o Prem Nagar, District Surajpur (C.G.)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Health Department,
Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur
(C.G.)
2. Director, Health Services, Chhattisgarh, Indrawati Bhawan,
Naya Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. Joint Director, Health Services, Sarguja Division, District
Sarguja, Ambikapur (C.G.)
4. Chief Medical and Health Officer, Sarguja, Ambikapur, District
Sarguja, Ambikapur (C.G.)
4. Chief Medical and Health Officer, Surajpur, District Surajpur
(C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner: Mr. C. Jayant K. Rao, Advocate.

For State/Respondents: Mr. Gary Mukhopadhyay, Deputy Govt. Adv.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

25/10/2016

1. Heard.
2. The petitioner, who is working as contract employee, has
preferred this writ petition for grant of revised contract pay.
3. Counsel for the parties would submit that the issue involved in
this writ petition is squarely covered by an order passed by this
Court on 10-5-2013 in W.P.(S)No.1442/21013, therefore, a

similar order may be passed in this writ petition also.

4. In view of the above, it is directed that if the petitioner submits a representation for grant of revised contract pay, the competent officer of the Department of Health & Family Welfare, Government of Chhattisgarh shall decide the representation strictly as per the direction and instruction of the State Government with regard to payment of contract pay.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that respondent No.4 is the competent authority of the concerned Department at the local level.
6. The representation shall be submitted by the petitioner within a period of 15 days from today and the said representation shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, on its own merits, as early as possible and, preferably within a period of three months from the date of submission.
7. With the aforesaid direction, the petition is finally disposed of.
No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge