

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No.4886 of 2016

1. Smt. Vimla Satnami W/o. Goverdhan Satnami, Aged About 38 Years R/o. Village Birgahni (C), Police Station Janjgir, Civil and Revenue District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh)
2. Smt. Mankunwar Yadav W/o. Suresh Yadav, Aged About 41 Years R/o. Village Kurda, Police Station Champa, Civil And Revenue District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh)
3. Dwaja Ram Bhardwaj S/o. Paklu Ram Bhardwaj, Aged About 36 Years R/o. Village Lachhanpur, Police Station Janjgir, Civil and Revenue District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh)
4. Smt. Kusum Bai Wd/o. Late Laxmi Prasad Satnami, Aged About 33 Years R/o. Village Birgahni (C), Police Station Janjgir, Civil and Revenue District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh)

--- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department of School Education Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Director, Lok Sikshan Sanchalanalaya, Indrawati Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Collector, Janjgir Champa District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh.
4. District Education Officer, Janjgir Champa District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr.Govind Dewangan, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr.Avinash Singh, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

26/10/2016

Heard

1. The petitioners, who were appointed as Part Time Sweeper on a fixed salary of ₹ 810/-, the then existing collector rate, have filed this petition for a direction that they are entitled for grant of minimum wages as per minimum wage fixed vide letter dated 26.9.2015.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a representation has also been moved by the petitioners for grant of minimum wages, however, the same are not being extended to the petitioners.

3. There order of appointment of the petitioners shows that they were appointed as Part Time Sweeper in the year 2011 on wages applicable in case of Part Time Sweeper, on collectorate rates. Therefore, if the collectorate wages have been revised, the case of the petitioners is also required to be considered for grant of appropriate and existing minimum wages prescribed by the Collector. Accordingly, the petitioners case should also be considered and appropriate orders in their case should be passed by the respondent No.4 for grant of appropriate wages, according to current rate of wages applicable to the category of employment, in which the petitioners are employed.

4. With the aforesaid observations, the petition is finally disposed of.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K Agrawal)
Judge

B/-