

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Contempt Case (C) No. 402 of 2016**

Ajay Kumar Singh, S/o Late Chandrabhan Singh Adhariya, Aged about 48 years, R/o Kapan, Tah. Akaltara, P.S. Naila, District Janjgir (C.G.)

---- Applicant**Versus**

1. Ganesh Shankar Mishra, Secretary, Water Resourced Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
2. Mithalesh Shukla, Research Officer, Quality Control Unit Sakti, Distt.- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

---- Respondents**Contempt Case (C) No. 403 of 2016**

Ramesh Kumar Shrivastava, S/o Late Paras Ram Shrivastava, Aged about 49 years, R/o Village – Kapan, Tah. - Akaltara, P.S. Naila, District Janjgir (C.G.)

---- Applicant**Versus**

1. Ganesh Shankar Mishra, Secretary, Water Resourced Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
2. Mithalesh Shukla, Research Officer, Quality Control Unit Sakti, Distt.- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

---- Respondents**Contempt Case (C) No. 404 of 2016**

Saroj Kumar Sahu, S/o Shri Rambhadas Sahu, Aged about 49 years, R/o Belari, Tah.- Pamgarh, Distt. Janjgir (C.G.)

---- Applicant**Versus**

1. Ganesh Shankar Mishra, Secretary, Water Resourced Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
2. Mithalesh Shukla, Research Officer, Quality Control Unit Sakti, Distt.- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

---- Respondents

Contempt Case (C) No. 405 of 2016

Manharan Lal Aghariya, S/o Late Kashiram, Aged about 50 years, R/o Kapan, Tah. -Akaltara, P.S. Naila, Distt. Janjgir (C.G.)

---- Applicant

Versus

1. Ganesh Shankar Mishra, Secretary, Water Resourced Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
2. Mithalesh Shukla, Research Officer, Quality Control Unit Sakti, Distt.- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Applicant	: Shri K.P. S. Gandhi, Advocate.
For Respondents	: Shri Gopa Kumar, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

21/09/2016

(1) Alleging that the respondents have not complied with the orders and directions issued by this court in Contempt Case (Civil) No.351/2016 dated 26.08.2016, the applicants are before this court in this contempt petition.

(2) Counsel for the respondents submit the order complained of dated 26.8.2016 has been complied with substantially as the applicants are working in the department concerned as dailywager in compliance of this Court's order dated 26.08.2016.

(3) In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the respondents, the contempt petition petitions are closed. Rule issued is discharged.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

D/-

