

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**W.P.(S) No. 4519 of 2015**

Balram Netam S/o Late Shri Bhagirathi Netam, aged about 40 years,
Occupation Unemployed, R/o Salihapara Bhanupratappur, Post & P.S.
Bhanupratappur, Civil and Revenue District North Baster Kanker,
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited through its Director General Manager (HRD), Daganiya, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Deputy Managing Director, Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited Daganiya, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Sunil Sahu, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Shri Abhishek Sinha, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****22.01.2016**

The petitioner through the instant writ petition has challenged the order dated 03.08.2015 whereby it has been held that the case of the petitioner for grant of compassionate appointment shall be considered as and when vacancy arises and the recruitment process is initiated. The said order has been passed in the light of the direction given by this Court in an earlier round of litigation in W.P. No. 2886 of 2004 dated 18.12.2014.

2. Subsequent to the filing of the present writ petition this Court had directed the respondents to file an affidavit showing the vacancy position of Class-III/IV category. The respondents have filed an affidavit of the Managing Director Shri Anoop Kumar Garg on 10th December 2015 whereby the Managing Director of the Respondent Company has categorically held that they would consider the case of the petitioner against the available posts in Class-III

& IV category in Transmission and Distribution Companies in accordance with their seniority, entitlement and subject to their fulfilling of eligibility and other requisite criteria for recruitment.

3. In the light of the affidavit sworn by the Managing Director of the respondents Company, this Court is of the opinion that nothing further remains to be adjudicated in the present petition at this juncture.

4. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of in the light of the undertaking given by the respondents in their affidavit with a further direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in accordance to their averments made in Paragraph-4 of the affidavit dated 10th December, 2015. Needless to mention that since the claim of the petitioner for grant of compassionate appointment is of the year 2000, it is expected that the respondents shall take a prompt decision on his claim and decide the same at the earliest.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**