

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 2044 of 2016

Lakhan Lal Dhruv S/o Asharam Dhruv, Aged About 75 Years R/o. Village Jaroud,
P.S. Bhatapara (Gramin), District Balodabazar Bhatapara (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through : Secretary, School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Director, Directorate Of Public Education, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Deputy Director, Office Of Deputy Director, Public Education, Raipur, District
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. District Education Officer, District Balodabazar Bhatapara (Chhattisgarh)
5. Block Education Officer, Simga, District Balodabazar Bhatapara (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Raghavendra Pradhan, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Shri R.K. Gupta, Dy.A.G.

S.B.:Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

13/06/2016

Heard on admission.

1. The petitioner's grievance as ventilated through this petition is that even though the petitioner has been finally acquitted of the criminal charges, which was made a basis to terminate his services on 24.2.1999, petitioner's claim for treating the entire period of termination as services for various purposes has not been considered till date.
2. Learned counsel for the State points out by referring to memo dated 5.2.2016 that the case of the petitioner is under active consideration of the departmental

authority and as soon as final decision is taken, the same shall be communicated to the petitioner.

3. Taking into consideration that the petitioner was acquitted in criminal case only in the year 2015 and that the matter is under consideration before the authority as is reflected from memo dated 5.2.2016, all that can be said at this stage is to expedite the matter considering that the petitioner has already attained the age of superannuation.
4. Accordingly, this petition at this stage, is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.1 to take appropriate decision and issue necessary guidelines to respondents No. 2, 3, 4 and 5 in the matter of petitioner's claim arising on account of his acquittal from criminal charges. The decision should be taken by respondent No.1 as early as possible preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge