

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 1120 of 2016**

T.M. Thomas, S/o late Shri Kora Mathew, aged about 69 years, retired Subedar (M), office of Commandant 5th Battalion, Chhattisgarh Armed Force, Camp Kangoli, District Jagdalpur, Bastar, R/o Thanarappallil House, Mukkoottuthara, District Pathaamthitta, Kerala-686510.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Finance Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Secretary, Department of Home Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. Director General of Police, Police Headquarters, Civil Lines, Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Commandant, 5th Battalion, CAF, Camp Kangoli, District Jagdalpur, Bastar (CG).
5. Joint Director, (Treasury, Accounts & Pension) Bastar Division, District Jagdalpur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mrs. Naushina Ali, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Mr. Dilman Rati Minj, Dy.G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order on Board****13/04/2016**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the parties submit that the present writ petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in WP(S) No.4523 of 2014 (Francis Xavier Back and others vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others), decided on

3.7.2015. Paragraph 10 of report states as under:-

10. In view of what has been urged by the learned counsel appearing for both the parties, all the writ petitions are disposed of in the following terms.

- The Department of Home, Government of Chhattisgarh shall constitute a committee consisting of senior IPC Officers not below the rank of ADGP and a senior officer of the Finance Department not below the rank of Additional Secretary.
- The petitioners shall submit a representation before the said committee within a period of one month from today.
- The committee shall examine the issue as to whether the respective cases of the petitioners are similar to **Abdul Nawab Khan** (Supra). If the petitioners are similar to those petitioners, they shall be entitled to all such benefits which are admissible to those petitioners on the subject issue.

2. As agreed and stated by learned counsel appearing for the parties, the present writ petition is finally disposed of in terms of para 10 as quoted hereinabove. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
JUDGE

L/-