

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 469 OF 2016**

Smt. Reena Bajpayee W/o Shri Sushil Shrivastava, Aged About 55 Years Occupation- Project Officer (Pariyojna Adhikari), Child Development Project, Sakti, P.S. Sakti, District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Woman And Child Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Mantralay, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Director, Woman And Child Development Department, Indrawati Bhawan, New Mantralay, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Commissioner, Directorate Of Woman And Child Development Department, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Collector, Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh)
5. The District Project Officer, Woman And Child Development, Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh)

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Prakash Tiwari, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order on Board****23/02/2016**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is physically disabled person and in mid academic session, she has been transferred from Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa to Pasan, District Korba, against which, she is permitted to make a fresh representation before the State Government.

2. Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.
3. The petitioner is permitted to file a fresh representation before the State Government. If such representation is made by the petitioner within a period of 10 days from today, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same on its own merit and in accordance with law preferably within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of representation of the petitioner.
4. No coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner for a period of one month.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).
6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the competent authority may decide the representation on its own merits.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
JUDGE