

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.960 of 2016**

Laxmi Prasad Sahu, S/o late Pardeshi Ram Sahu, aged about 36 years, Occupation Unemployed, R/o Yadav Nagar Ward No.7, Tifra, P.S. Sirgitti, Civil & Revenue District Bilaspur (CG).

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited through its Managing Director, Daganiya, Raipur, District Raipur (CG).
2. Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited through its Director General Manager (HRD), Daganiya, Raipur, District Raipur (CG).
3. Executive Director, Human Resources, Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited, Daganiya Raipur, District Raipur (CG).

--- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Sunil Sahu, Advocate
 For Respondents : Shri Syed Majid Ali, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

01/04/2016

(1) Heard.

(2) Learned counsel for the parties would submit that issue involved in the present writ petition has already been decided by this Court in Writ Petition (S) No.360/2015 (*Raja Ram Kosle Vs. Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Ltd. & Ors.*) and other connected matters vide order dated 08.04.2015 in which, this Court has observed as under:-

“9. For the foregoing, the present batch of writ petitions is disposed of in the following manner :-

(i) The petitioners shall submit fresh representations within a period of one month, alongwith copies of the earlier applications filed by each of them and supporting documents before the Manager, HRD, Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited, Dangania, District Raipur.

(ii) On such representations being filed, the respondents shall decide the same strictly in accordance with the policy dated 30.01.1997.

(iii) It is made clear that while deciding the representations, the respondents would be at liberty to take into consideration the law laid down by the Supreme Court in various decisions as also the law laid down by the Division Bench of this Court in WA No.588/2013

10. However, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondents shall decide the representations in accordance with the policy dated 30.01.1997 and the principles governing grant of compassionate appointment laid down by the Supreme Court and the Division Bench of this Court and nothing contained in this order shall be construed as any opinion on merits in favour of the petitioners”.

(3) Accepting the submission of learned counsel for the parties, this writ petition is disposed of in similar terms of the order passed by this Court in WP(S) No.360/2015 (Raja Ram Kosle Vs. Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Ltd. & Ors.) and other connected matters.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-