

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**WPC No. 184 of 2016**

- Sanskar Rice Industry, A Industry Registered Under The Provisions Of Indian Factories Act Having Its Registered Office At In Front of Nirmal Petrol Pump, Jagdalpur Road, Chotood, Tahsil Gurur, District Balod (Chhattisgarh), Through Its Sole Proprietor Pintu Dagga, S/o Shri Dharam Chand Dagga, Aged 35 Years, R/o Sadar Bazar, Dhamtari, Civil And Revenue District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through : Secretary, Food Civil Supplies And Consumer Protection Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Capital Complex, New Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, Through Its Managing Director, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. District Marketing Officer State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, Balod (Chhattisgarh)
4. Collector, Balod, District Balod (Chhattisgarh)
5. Tahsildar, Balod, District Balod (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

|            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
|            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |
| 10/02/2016 | <p>Shri Malay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy. G.A. for the State.</p> <p>Shri Mayank Chandrakar, counsel for the respondent No.2 &amp; 3.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the name of the petitioner has not been shown in Annexure P/1, but orally he has been directed to comply with the orders. No documents have been filed to support the submission and <i>prima facie</i> it appears that the notices were issued to the other persons and not to the petitioner. The primary notice dated 27/10/2015 which is sought to be quashed has not been issued to petitioner. The petition as <i>prima facie</i> appears to be prematured.</p> <p>Accordingly, writ petition is dismissed at the motion stage itself.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-<br/>(Goutam Bhaduri)<br/>Judge</p> |  |
| Kamde      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |