

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**CONT No. 597 of 2016**

- Vimal Kumar Singh S/o Late Shri R.A.Singh, Aged About 55 Years  
Occupation- Teacher O/o District Rehabilitation Centre, Bilaspur R/o  
Behind Gupta Flour Mill, Bajrang Chowk, Arvind Nagar,  
Bandhawapara, Sarkanda, Bilaspur, P.S. Sarkanda, Bilaspur, Tahsil  
And District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. Sonmoni Borah Secretary Department Of Social Welfare, Government  
Of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, P.S.  
Rakhi, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Dr. Sanjay Kumar Alang, Director, Directorate Of Social Welfare,  
Government Of Chhattisgarh, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mahanadi  
Khand, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Herman Khalkho, Deputy Director (Administration), Directorate Of  
Social Welfare, Government Of Chhattisgarh, Dau Kalyan Singh  
Bhawan, Mahanadi Khand, Raipur, District Raipur,  
Chhattisgarh .....(Contemnors)

**---- Respondents**

-----  
For Petitioner : Mr. Surendra Kumar Dewangan, Advocate  
For Respondents : Mr. Praveen Das, Advocate on advance copy.  
-----

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**

**Order On Board**

**15/12/2016**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that interim order passed  
by this Court has been violated as the petitioner has not been  
allowed to continue at the present place of posting i.e. in attached  
place at Raipur and has been sent back to the original place of  
posting at Bilaspur, where he is posted.
2. The petitioner challenged the order of transfer, by which, he has  
been transferred from the District Rehabilitation Centre, Bilaspur to  
Government Deaf School, Dantewada. This Court stayed his  
transfer order and allowed him to continue at the present place of  
posting. It appears that when the interim orders were passed, the  
petitioner, as an interim arrangement, was attached at Raipur and  
now he has been transferred from Raipur to his original place of  
posting at Bilaspur.

3. The spirit of the order of the Court is to continue the petitioner at the present place of posting. It is not a case where the petitioner is transferred to Dantewada from Bilaspur, in fact, the petitioner was attached at Raipur and from where he has been shifted to his original place of posting at Bilaspur which he intended to protect by way of his writ petition against his transfer from Bilaspur, I find that it would have been better for the respondents to seek leave of this Court.
4. Considering the posting of the petitioner to original place of posting at Bilaspur, I am not inclined to issue notices. Contempt petition is closed.

Sd/-  
**(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)**  
Judge