

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

CONT No. 440 of 2015

1. Chowa Ram Dubey S/o Late Bhuvan Lal Dubey, Aged About 59 Years
R/o Nagar Palika Parishad, Bhattapara, Tahsil - Bhattapara, District -
Balaudabazar-Bhattapara (Chhattisgarh)
2. Rajendra Kumar Singh S/o Late Manaharan Singh, Aged About 59
Years R/o Nagar Palika Parishad, Bhattapara, Tahsil - Bhattapara,
District - Balaudabazar - Bhattapara (Chhattisgarh)
3. Jhadu Ram Baghel S/o Late Agindhar Baghel, Aged About 52 Years
R/o Nagar Palika Parishad, Bhattapara, Tahsil - Bhattapara, District -
Balaudabazar - Bhattapara (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. R. P. Mandal Aged About 56 Years The Chief Secretary, Urban
Administration & Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan,
Mantralaya, New Raipur, P. S. Rakhi, District - Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Vikash Sheel, Aged About 55 Years The Principal Secretary
Department Of General Administration Govt. Of Chhattisgarh,
Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralay, New Raipur, P. S. Rakhi, District
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Rohit Yadav, Aged About 52 Years The Director, Urban Administration
And Development Department, Directorate, New Raipur, P. S. &
District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Sunil Chandra Sharma Aged About 58 Years The Chief Municipal
Officer, Municipal Council, Bhattapara, District Balodabazar
(Chhattisgarh)
5. Tamsan Ratre, Aged About 38 Years At Present Posted As Zone
Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur, District - Bilaspur
(Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondent

For Petitioners
For Respondents

Shri Bharat Rajput, Advocate
Shri Arun Sao, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board By

13/01/2016

1. Learned counsel for the respondents would submit that the impugned order has already been complied with.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that though substantial compliance has been made, but there is defect in the order, therefore, the petitioners may be granted liberty to move a fresh writ petition.
3. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the parties, the contempt petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to move a fresh writ petition on the basis of subsequent events.

Sd/-

JUDGE

PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

Nirala