

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No.4062 of 2016**

1. Kishan Lal Mandavi S/o Shri Hem Lal Mandavi, Aged About 26 Years R/o Village Dhorgapara Pipara, Tahsil Keshkal, District Kondagaon (Chhattisgarh).
2. Udey Ram Netam S/o Late Shri Pawaru Ram, Aged About 28 Years Amoda, Keshkaal, Primary School, District Kondagaon (Chhattisgarh).

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Education, New Mantralaya Police Station Mandir Hasaud, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
2. Director, Lok Sikshan Sanchnalaya Indrawati Bhawan, New Mantralaya Raipur District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
3. Collector/ Assistant Commissioner, Kondagaon (Trivel Development Branch) District Kondagaon (Chhattisgarh).
4. District/ Block Education Officer, Keshkal, District Kondagon, Chhattisgarh.
5. Principal/ Head Master High School/ Middle School/ Higher Secondary School, Block Keshkaal, District Kondagaon (Chhattisgarh).

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri RK Jaiswal, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy.GA

S.B. : Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**Order On Board****04/10/2016**

Heard.

2. The petitioners, who were appointed as Part Time Sweeper on a fixed salary granted to them at the time of their appointment on the then existing collector rate, have filed this petition for a direction that they are entitled for grant of minimum wages as per minimum wage fixed by the Collector.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a representation has also been

moved by the petitioners for grant of minimum wages, however, the same are not being extended to the petitioners.

4. The order of appointment of the petitioner shows that they were appointed as Part Time Sweeper in the year 2012 on wages applicable in case of Part Time Sweeper, on collectorate rates. Therefore, if the collectorate wages have been revised, the case of the petitioners is also required to be considered for grant of appropriate and existing minimum wages prescribed by the Collector.

5. Accordingly, the petitioners case should also be considered and appropriate orders in their case should be passed by the respondent No.4 for grant of appropriate wages, according to current rate of wages applicable to the category of employment, in which the petitioners are employed.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the petition is finally disposed off.

SD/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge