

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 4606 of 2016**

Suleman Bek S/o Shri S. Bek, Aged About 53 Years Occupation Service, Working As Shiksha Karmi, Asst Teacher Panchayat Grade III, Governemnt Primary School Thakurnagar Jobi, P.O. Sonpur, Janpad Panchayat And Tahsil Daramjaigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, New Mantralaya, Mahandi Bhawan, New Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Secretary, Department Of School Educaiton New Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. The District Education Officer, Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Dharamjaigarh, Distirct Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Vivek Bhakta, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J.**Order on Board****08/09/2016**

Heard.

1. The petitioner by this petition have claimed that by virtue of provisions under Rule 12 of the Chhattisgarh Teacher (Panchayat) Cadre (Recruitment Condition of Service) Rules, 2012 (hereinafter called as 'Rules of 2012') he is also entitled to all other service benefits, which have been extended to other employees of Janpad Panchayat and Zila Panchayat.

2. In the petition, it has been stated that petitioner is entitled for payment of Gratuity, Provident Fund, Pension, Travelling Allowance, Dearness Allowance, Medical, Accommodation and Project Allowance etc., which have been provided to other employees of Janpad Panchayat and Zila Panchayat. According to the petitioner, various representations have been made but none of the representations have been decided.

3. Rule 12 of the Rules of 2012 provides as under:-

“12. General Conditions of Service : Conditions of Service other than mentioned above shall be the same as applicable to other employees of Zila Panchayat or Janpad Panchayat, as the case may be.”

4. Prima facie, it appears that this Rule provide that the condition of service other than those mentioned in the Rule shall be the same as applicable to the other employees of Janpad Panchayat or Zila Panchayat, as the case may be.

5. Therefore, petitioner's claim requires serious consideration after taking into consideration the provisions contained in the Rule, 2012. The benefit which are being extended to the employees of Janpad Panchayat and Zila Panchayat and also the various terms and conditions of the appointment order of the petitioner.

6. It is found that Division Bench of this Court in **Writ Appeal No. 321 of 2011 (Smt. Gayatri Nirmalkar and others v. State of Chhattisgarh and others)** and other analogous appeals passed an order on **15/09/2014** directing that this issue has to be considered by the Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Social Welfare. In those cases, it was found that the appellants therein have not come out with specific detail. In the present petition the petitioners have enumerated the service benefit claimed by them in terms of Rule 12 of the Rules 2012.

7. Therefore, taking into consideration that earlier Division Bench of this Court has already issued a direction for consideration of similar case by the Department concerned, this petition is also required to be disposed off on similar terms as Writ Appeal No.321 of 2011.

8. With the said direction, the petition stands finally disposed off.

9. If the grievance of the petitioner is not redressed/fully redressed, it would be open for the petitioner to revive the petition.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge