

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 3959 of 2016

Smt. Sarita Sahu W/o Shri Janendra Prasad Sahu, Aged About
31 Years R/o Supela Bhilai Thana Supela Civil & Revenue
District Durg (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Secretary, Krishi Avm Jain Prodhogiki
Vibhag, Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Sanchalak, Sanchalanalay Krishi Chhattisgarh Naya Raipur
Chhattisgarh
3. Up Sanchalak Krishi, Karyalay Upsanchalak Krishi Durg District
Durg Chhattisgarh
4. Up Sanchalak Krishi, Karyalay Upsanchalak Krishi Bemetara
District Bemetara Chhattisgarh
5. Varisth Krishi Vikash Adhikari, Patan, Vikash Khand- Patan
District Durg Chhattisgarh.
6. Kirtilata Verma, Gramin Krishi Vistar Adhikari Karyalay Varisth
Krishi Vikash Adhikari Dharsiwa Vikashkhand Dharsiwa District
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
7. Smt. Sarita Bhagat, Gramin Krishi Vistar Adhikari Karyalay
Varisth Krishi Vikash Adhikari Patan District Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Abdul Wahab Khan, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Shri Dheeraj Wankhede, G.A., on advance copy

S.B.:Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

23/08/2016

Heard on admission.

1. The transfer order is under challenge on the ground that while transferring the petitioner, the policy of the State Government to keep husband wife together as far as possible and subject to exigency of service, has not been kept in view.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is posted as Rural Agriculture Development Officer at Aundhi, Patan, District Durg and the husband of the petitioner is posted at Supela, Bhilai, District Durg as Pharmacist which is about 20 km. away. Now, by the impugned order, the petitioner is being transferred to the office of Deputy Director at Bemetara which is about 120 km.

3. On petitioner's own showing, the petitioner has completed almost four years of posting at the present place. Therefore, the petitioner has become due for transfer. However, considering that the husband of the petitioner is also posted in Government service, the respondent may explore the possibility of posting petitioner and her husband at same stations or at nearby stations as far as possible and subject to administrative exigency.

4. However, there is no document to show that the petitioner has preferred representation. Therefore, it is directed that in case, the petitioner prefers representation within ten days from today, the same shall be considered and decided by the respondent within a period of four weeks keeping in view its own policy of adjusting husband wife together at same stations or at nearby stations as far as possible and subject to exigency of service.

5. The petition is accordingly disposed off.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge