

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 3954 of 2016

- Smt. Sabnam Mumtaj W/o Shri Abid Khan, Aged About 30 Years Presently Posted As Assistant Teacher Panchayat Government Primary School Onkarband Block Bagbahra, P.S. Mahasamund District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Panchayat Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Mahasamund (Chhattisgarh).

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Rahul Mishra, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. R.K. Gupta, Dy. Advocate General

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

23/08/2016

Heard on admission.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is entitled to consider for promotion despite having been transferred from one Janpad Panchayat to other Janpad Panchayat. This issue has been considered by Division Bench of this Court in **Writ Appeal No. 475 of 2015 (Smt. Reeta Singh Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others)** and analogous appeals **vide order dated 29/10/2015**.
2. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, for the purposes of eligibility, the length of service is required to be considered irrespective of transfer, benefit of seniority may not be given. The order passed by the Division Bench in the aforesaid case, clearly holds that:-

“5. We have considered the submissions on behalf of the parties. Rule 27 of the Chhattisgarh Teacher (Panchayat) Cadre (Recruitment and Conditions of Service) Rules 2012 provides for request transfer in which event, loss of seniority only would follow at the transferred place of posting. The Learned Single Judge

has correctly observed that loss of seniority due to request transfer and the eligibility to be considered for promotion by taking into account the earlier period of service before transfer were two separate issues and the latter had to be taken into consideration for determining eligibility to be considered for promotion as distinct from seniority.

6. Considering a similar issue with regard to calculation of the time period for grant of time bound promotion in a case relating to transfer upon request, it was observed in **(1999) 2 Supreme Court Cases 119 (Dwijen Chandra Sarkar v. Union of India)** as follows:

“17. On the facts of the present case and especially in view of the aforesaid decisions, we are of the view that when the transfer is in public interest and not on request, the two employees transferred cannot be in a worse position than those in the above rulings who have been transferred on request and who in those cases accepted that their names could appear at the bottom of the seniority list. Even in cases relating to request transfers, this Court has held, as seen above, that the past service will count for eligibility for certain purposes though it may not count for seniority.

18. Hence the transfer order and circular concerned of 1983 which required that the past service should not count for seniority, cannot have any bearing on eligibility for time-bound promotion. Seniority and time-bound promotions are different concepts, as stated above.

19. For the above reasons, we hold that the past service of the appellants is to be counted for the limited purpose of eligibility— for computing the number of years of qualifying service, to enable them to claim the higher grade under the Scheme of Time-bound Promotions.”

3. The legal issue stands adjudicated by the Division Bench, it only requires application in the case of petitioner on its own facts. Therefore, in these circumstances, instead of keeping the matter pending, it would be appropriate to direct respondent No. 3 to consider petitioner's representation with regard to her eligibility for

being considered for promotion keeping in view the legal position adumbrated by the Division Bench in case of **Smt. Reeta Singh (supra)** and takes decision on its own merit in accordance with law.

4. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands finally disposed off.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

Chandra