

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 3978 of 2016**

- Nainu Bai D/o Late Bhukharam, Aged About 63 Years R/o Ravan Bhatha Supela, Bhilai Tehsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department of Urban Administration And Development Mahanadi Bhawan Capital Complex New Raipur P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, Department Of Urban Administration And Development Indrawati Bhawan, Capital Complex Ne Raipur P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Municipal Corporaiton Bhilai, Through Commissioner Municipal Corporation Bhilai District Durg Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

---

|                 |   |                                                                           |
|-----------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner  | : | Mr. Avinash Chand Sahu, Advocate                                          |
| For State       | : | Mr. S.P. Kale, Dy. Advocate General                                       |
| For Corporation | : | Mr. H.B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate along with<br>Mr. Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**

**Order On Board**

**23/08/2016**

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner's claim that regular services rendered by the petitioner as regular employee of erstwhile Special Area Development Authority (for short "SADA") Bhilai, where he was initially appointed, are liable to be counted for the purposes of pensionable services. He submits that identical issue has been decided by this Court vide order dated 08.02.2016 in batch of petitions WP(S) No.4459 of 2014 and other cases.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents do not dispute that the petitioner's claim of being identically placed as the petitioner, in whose favour, orders were passed in the case referred to above.
3. In view of the above, this petition is also liable to be allowed and is allowed. Let the same benefit accrue to the petitioner as directed by this Court vide order dated 08.02.2016 in similar petition.

Sd/-  
**(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)**  
Judge

Chandra