

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 3968 of 2016

- Jai Kumar Punnal S/o K. Punnal Aged About 68 Years House No 175, Ward No 6, Panch Rashta Supela Bhilai, District Durg Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Fo Urban Administration And Development Mahanadi Bhawan Capital Complex New Raipur P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, Department Of Urban Administration And Development Indrawati Bhawan, Ne Raipur P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Municipal Corporaiton Bhilai, Through Commissioner Municipal Corporation Bhilai District Durg Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Avinash Chand Sahu, Advocate
For State : Mr. S.P. Kale, Dy. Advocate General
For Corporation : Mr. H.B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate along with
Mr. Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

23/08/2016

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner's claim that regular services rendered by the petitioner as regular employee of erstwhile Special Area Development Authority (for short "SADA") Bhilai, where he was initially appointed, are liable to be counted for the purposes of pensionable services. He submits that identical issue has been decided by this Court vide order dated 08.02.2016 in batch of petitions WP(S) No.4459 of 2014 and other cases.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents do not dispute that the petitioner's claim of being identically placed as the petitioner, in whose favour, orders were passed in the case referred to above.
3. In view of the above, this petition is also liable to be allowed and is allowed. Let the same benefit accrue to the petitioner as directed by this Court vide order dated 08.02.2016 in similar petition.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

Chandra