

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 3689 of 2016

Lala Ram Dirhae S/o Shri Daya Ram Dihare, Aged About 62 Years R/o
House No I 33, Nagar Nigam Colony, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhavan, Capital Complex, New Raipur, P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Indravati Bhawan, Capital Complex, New Raipur, P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Municipal Corporation Korba Thorough Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Korba District Korba Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

Shri Sudeep Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner/s.
Shri Raj Kumar Gupta, Dy. A.G. for the State.
Shri H.B. Agrawal, Senior Advocate with Ms. Nandini Kashyap, Advocate for the Municipal Corporation, Korba.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

12/08/2016

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue with regard to inclusion of the period of service rendered in SADA, Korba for the purposes of pensionary benefit has been considered by this Court in WP(S) No.4459 of 2014 (Narayan Prasad Dewangan Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others) and batch of petitions decided on 08.02.2016. It is the case of the petitioner that the petitioner's case is also squarely covered by the same judgment.
2. Learned counsel for the Corporation does not dispute the legal position.
3. In view of the above, this petition is also disposed off on similar terms and

with a direction to grant the same benefit to the petitioner subject to verification of factual aspect.

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
J U D G E

Rekha