

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 3698 of 2016

Tularam Dewangan S/o Shri Samnaru Ram Dewangan, Aged About 60
Years R/o House No I 45 Nagr Nigam Colony, Korba, Distirct Korba
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Urban
Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhavan, Capital Complex, New
Raipur, P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, Department Of Urban Administration And Development,
Indravati Bhawan, Capital Complex, New Raipur, P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur
Chhattisgarh
3. Municipal Corporation Korba Thorugh Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Korba District Korba Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

Shri Sudeep Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner/s.
Shri Raj Kumar Gupta, Dy. A.G. for the State.
Shri H.B. Agrawal, Senior Advocate with Ms. Nandini Kashyap, Advocate for
the Municipal Corporation, Korba.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

12/08/2016

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue with regard to
inclusion of the period of service rendered in SADA, Korba for the purposes of
pensionary benefit has been considered by this Court in WP(S) No.4459 of 2014
(Narayan Prasad Dewangan Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others) and batch of
petitions decided on 08.02.2016. It is the case of the petitioner that the petitioner's
case is also squarely covered by the same judgment.
2. Learned counsel for the Corporation does not dispute the legal position.

3. In view of the above, this petition is also disposed off on similar terms and with a direction to grant the same benefit to the petitioner subject to verification of factual aspect.

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
J U D G E

Rekha