

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 2395 of 2016

1. Smt. Jubeda Khatun W/o Shri Mo. Hashim Ansari, Aged About 36 Years
Occupation Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Primary School Mahuadih, R/o
Village Mahuadih, Block & Thana Shankargarh, Revenue & Civil District
Balrampur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Sunil Kumar Pandey, S/o Shri Devesh Pandey, Aged about 40 years,
Occupation Assistant Teacher Panchayat, Primary School, Madholongra,
R/o Village Madholongra, Block and Thana Shankargarh, Revenue and Civil
District Balrampur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Panchayat, Gramin Vikas Avm
Shram Vibhag, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Chhattisgarh, Indravati Bhawan, Naya
Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. Chief Executive Officer, District Balrampur, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Chief Executive Officer , Janpad Panchayat, Balrampur, Distt. Balrampur,
(Chhattisgarh)
5. Collector, Balrampur, Distt. Balrampur, (Chhattisgarh)
6. Block Education Officer, Shankargarh, District Balrampur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

Mr. Abdul Wahab Khan, counsel for the petitioner/s.
Mr. S.P. Kale, Dy. A.G. for the State.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

28/06/2016

Heard on admission.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are working as Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) since 2005, neither they have been given *Kramonnati* nor they have been given *Samayman Vetanman* (time bound pay scale) and therefore, they may be permitted to file representation before the competent authority for redressal of their grievances. To this, counsel for the State has no objection.

2. Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed off. In the eventuality of making any representation by the petitioners highlighting their grievances, the same is expected to be decided by the authority concerned expeditiously in accordance with law.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case and authority concerned would be at liberty to decide the representation in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
J U D G E