

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No. 3660 of 2016

Shrawan Kumar Sahu S/o Pavitra Sahu Aged About 34 Years R/o
Tabada, P.S. Sohela, District Bargarh (Odisha)

---- Applicant

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police
Station Saraipali, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh

And

MCRC No. 3661 of 2016

1. Babu Lal Pandey S/o Ishwar Pandey, Aged About 40 Years, R/o.
Kudapali, P.S. Sohela, District Bargarh (Odisha)
2. Soumitri Bagarti S/o Dhobai Bagarti, Aged About 35 Years,
3. Vijay Barik S/o Shankar Barik Aged About 24 Years,
4. Pintu Chirgun S/o Narayana Chirgun, Aged About 25 Years,
5. Sanjay Budhiya S/o Prem Raj Budhiya Aged About 24 Years,
No.2 to 5 are R/o Tabada, P.S. Sohela, District Bargarh (Odisha)

---- Applicants

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police
Station Saraipali, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For applicants - Shri Ragvendra Pradhan, Advocate.
For Respondent/State – Shri Lav Sharma, PL.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order

15/07/2016

1. Both these bail applications are decided by this common order as they are arising out of the same crime number.
2. The applicants have preferred these applications for grant of bail as they are arrested in connection with Crime No.72/2016 registered in Police Station Saraipali, Dist. Mahasamund (C.G.) for offence punishable under section 395 of Indian Penal Code.
3. As per the prosecution case in the intervening night of

28-29/02/2016 the applicants went to the Singhoda Temple and thereafter tied the watchman and the priest and looted amount of Rs.20,000/- and mobile. Thereby, offence is committed.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not been identified. He submits that FIR was against unknown persons and no substantial recovery has been made, therefore the applicants may be released on bail.

5. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

6. Perused the case diary and the documents. On the basis of call details, the applicants were apprehended and subsequently the mobile which was looted was seized from the applicant Shrawan Kumar Sahu and on the basis of the evidence further the other applicants were apprehended. Taking into background of the case, the way the offence has been committed, this court is not inclined to release the applicants on bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail applications are dismissed.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Goutam Bhaduri)

JUDGE