

**NAFR**

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**Transfer Petition (Criminal) No.11 of 2015**

Smt. Poonam Parakh wife of Kamal Parakh D/o Anopchand Jain,  
aged 25 years, R/o Pankaj Provision Stores, Behind Jain Bawaan  
Charoda, B.M.Y. Tahsil Patan, District Durg, Chhattisgarh

**---Petitioner**

**versus**

1. Ful Chand Parakh, son of Kastur Chand Parakh, aged about 65 years,  
R/o Gurudev Collection, Madhu Chowk, Balod, P.S. Balod
2. Lila Devi, W/o Ful Chand Parakh aged about 62 years,
3. Ritesh Kumar Parakh, son of Ful Chand Parakh, aged about 35 years,
4. Rajendra Parakh, son of Ful Chand Parakh, aged about 38 years,
5. Kamal Parakh, son of Ful Chand Parakh, aged about 27 years,
6. Chanchal Parakh, wife of Rajendra Parakh, aged about 36 years,
7. Diptee Parakh, wife of Ritesh Parakh, aged about 30 years,  
(All R/o Gurudev Collection, Madhu Chowk, Balod, P.S. and District  
Balod, Chhattisgarh)
8. State of Chhattisgarh through Station House Officer Balod District  
Balod, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

---

|                           |   |                                    |
|---------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner            | : | None                               |
| For State/Respondent No.8 | : | Ms. Madhunisha Singh, Panel Lawyer |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Deepak Gupta, Chief Justice**

**Order on Board**

**17/6/2016**

1. This is a petition filed under Section 407 Cr.P.C. for transfer of the case from the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Balod to the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Bhilai – 3.
2. On 30.6.2015, when the case was listed for the first time, Learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner sought time to reconstruct his file. On 10.2.2016, again Learned Counsel for the Petitioner sought adjournment. On 15.3.2016, when the case was heard on admission, notices were ordered to be issued to Respondents No.1 to 7 on payment of process fee.

No process fee has been filed till date.

**3.** Today, no one is present on behalf of the Petitioner. It appears that the Petitioner is not interested in pursuing the transfer petition. The petition is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-  
**(Deepak Gupta)**  
**CHIEF JUSTICE**