

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No.2084 of 2016

Dasoram Sonwani S/o Late Maniram Sonwani, Aged About 50 Years R/o Ward No. 10, Linepara, Tahsil And Thana Nagri, Distt. Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Employment And Training Welfare Department, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Director, Employment And Training Welfare Department, Sanchanalalaya, Naya Raipur Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Joint Director, Employment And Training Welfare Department, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Parag kotecha, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Dheeraj Kumar Wankhede, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

13/06/2016

Heard.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is working on Class IV post in Industrial Training Institute, Nagri, District Dhamtari, as he has been appointed on Daily Wages prior to 31-12-1997. He would next submit that in spite of there being decision by the State Government as reflecting in the circular dated 05-03-2008 (Annexure P-2) for consideration of cases of regularization of such daily wager who has been appointed during the period from 01-01-1989 to 31-12-1997, his case has not been considered.

3. This Court has gone through the papers annexed with the writ petition. In the opinion of this Court, for the present, this writ petition deserves to be disposed off with a direction to the concerned competent authority to consider the case of the petitioner for regularization in view of the circular dated 05-03-2008.

4. Accordingly, it is directed that the petitioner's case for regularization be considered and speaking order be passed within the parameter laid down in the circular dated 05-03-2008 and necessary order in this regard be passed within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the petitioner's case for regularization shall be considered strictly in accordance with the norms laid down in the circular dated 05-03-2008.

6. With the aforesaid observation, the petition is finally disposed off.

Sd/-
Manindra Mohan Shrivastava
Judge