

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.1354 of 2016**

R.S. Yadu, son of Shri Jagat Ram Yadu, aged about 54 years, presently posted as Area Assistant at District Office, Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, Mungeli, District Mungeli (CG).

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Cooperative Societies Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur (CG).
2. Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, the Managing Director, Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, 880, Civil Lines, Head Office, Raipur (CG).
3. Secretary, Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, 880, Civil Lines, Head Office, Raipur (CG).
4. Manager (Establishment), Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, 880, Civil Lines, Head Office, Raipur (CG).

--- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Shri V.R. Tiwari, Advocate
For Respondent/State	: Shri Dilman Rati Minj, Dy.G.A.
For Respondents No. 2 to 4	: Shri Keshav Dewangan, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

04/05/2016

- (1) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that pursuant to order dated 02.03.2016 (Annexure P/1), recovery proceeding is being initiated against the petitioner.
- (2) Learned counsel for the respondents would submit that the

petitioner's appeal against the order dated 02.03.2016 is pending consideration before the appellate authority.

(3) Since the appeal against the petitioner is pending consideration, the appellate authority is directed to do well and decide the appeal expeditiously.

(4) Learned counsel for the petitioner has brought to the notice of this Court the decision rendered in Writ Petition No.2773/2003.

(5) The appeal is pending consideration. If some proceedings of recovery is initiated against the petitioner, he is at liberty to make interim application before the appellate authority and the appellate authority would do well to decide the said application expeditiously in accordance with law.

(6) With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. However, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the Court, if occasion arises.

(7) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(8) No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-