

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 1065 of 2016

1. M. C. R. Meshram S/o Guharam Meshram, Aged About 60 Years
R/o Ward No. 1, Kota, Tehsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Stephen Mandal, S/o Jyotin Mandal, Aged About 64 Years R/o
Near Ramdarbar Gate, Kota Main Road, Raipur, Tehsil And District
Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Maruti Rao Pantawne, S/o Hiranmanji Pantawne, Aged About 65
Years R/o Ward No. 1, Kota Main Road, Kota, Raipur, Tehsil And
District Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. Nitya Gopal Vishwas, S/o Upendranath Vishwas, Aged About 65
Years R/o Kota Main Road, Kota, Raipur, Tehsil And District Raipur
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Town
And Country Planning, Mahandi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur
Chhattisgarh
2. Municipal Corporation, Raipur, Through Zone Commissioner, Zone
No. 8, Raipur, District Raipur Chattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Mr. Pawan Kesharwani, Advocate.
For State	: Mr. B. Gop Kumar, Dy. A.G. on advance copy
For Corporation	: Mr. Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate on advance copy

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

26/04/2016

Heard on admission.

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioners on apprehension that the respondents may proceed against the petitioners without proper scrutiny, enquiry of their documents of title, building permission etc.
2. Shri Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate, who appears for respondent No.2 Corporation submits that the apprehension of the petitioners is not well founded. The Corporation has given notice dated 07.04.2016 (Annexure P/1) only to make proper enquiry, scrutiny of all aspect of title, building

permission and construction of the petitioners.

3. In view of the statement which is made by learned counsel for the respondent Corporation and that notice dated 07.04.2016 only requires the petitioners to place relevant documents before the Corporation Authority and no adverse orders against the petitioners have been passed, in the considered opinion of this Court, the petition has filed on mere apprehension without any adverse orders against the petitioners.

4. It goes without saying that pursuant to the notice all aspect of the matter shall be duly looked into and examined before proceeding further in the matter.

5. The petition is accordingly disposed off.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)

J U D G E