

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**W.P. (S) No. 1874 of 2015**

Chowa Ram Sirmour, S/o Bishesar Pd. Sirmour,
51 years Electrician, Govt. Engineering College,
Koni, Bilaspur, R/o F-16, Engineering College
Campus, Koni, Bilaspur (C.G.)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of C.G., Through : The Secretary, Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Secretary, Finance Department, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Director, Technical Education Department, Directorate, Raipur (C.G.)
4. The Principal, Government Engineering College, Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. The Principal, Government Polytechnic College, Korba (C.G.)

---- Respondents**And****W.P. (S) No. 1877 of 2015**

Satish Kumar Chandrakar, S/o Late Reshamlal Chandrakar, 52 years, Draftsman, Govt. Engineering College, Koni, Bilaspur, R/o F-2, Govt. Engineering College Campus, Koni, Bilaspur (C.G.)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of C.G., Through : The Secretary, Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Secretary, Finance Department, Mantralaya,

Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Director, Technical Education Department,
Directorate, Raipur (C.G.)
4. The Principal, Government Engineering College,
Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. The Principal Government Polytechnic College,
Korba (C.G.)

For Petitioner : Shri B.D. Guru, Advocate.

For Respondents : Smt. Astha Shukla, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

15/01/2016

(1) W.P. (S) Nos. 1874/2015 & 1877/2015, involve common facts and common question of law and, as such, require consideration and disposal by the common order.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are working on the post of Electrician/Draftsman on adhoc basis since 18.05.1990; they have been regularized from 24.6.2011 ; and they are entitled for annual increment and, therefore, they may be permitted to file representation for redressal of their grievances before respondents No. 2 & 3 and the same may be directed to

be decided expeditiously in light of decision rendered by this Court in W.P. (S) No. 2466/2011 on 25.07.2013.

(3) Prayer appears to be fair & reasonable.

(4) Be that as it may, if the petitioners prefer representations to respondents No. 2 & 3 for redressal of their grievances within a period of two weeks from today, the respondents No. 2 & 3 shall decide the same in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt thereof.

(5) With the aforesaid observations, the writ petitions stand finally disposed of.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

D/-