

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No.1593 of 2016**

Ajay Kumar Rajwade S/o Ram Dilip Rajwade, Aged About 24 Years R/o Village Katkalo, Post Karji, Tahsil Ambikapur, P.S. Darima, District Surguja, Ambikapur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Water Resources, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, P.S. Rakhi, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Chief Engineer, Hasdev Ganga Kachchhar, Water Resource Department, Ambikapur, District Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
3. Engineer In Chief, Water Resource Department, Sihawa Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Commissioner, Surguja Division, District Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
5. Superintendence Engineer, Shyam Barnai, Project Division, Ambikapur, District Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
6. The Collector, Ambikapur, District Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
7. Executive Engineer, Water Resource Division No. 1 Ambikapur, District Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
8. Vivek Tamrakar S/o Bhagirati Tamrakar, Aged About 25 Years R/o Infront Of New Nagar Nigam Office, Kedarpur School, Ambikapur, District Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Dashrath Kushwaha, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Ajit Singh, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**Order On Board****25/07/2016**

Heard.

2. Though, time was granted to learned counsel for the petitioner to file an affidavit explaining delay in filing the petition, but no application has been filed. The petitioner

seeks to challenge selection and appointment of respondent No.8 on the post of Data Entry Operator vide order dated 03-11-2014.

3. Irrespective of the merits of the case, the petitioner has chosen to challenge the selection and appointment of respondent No.8 after almost 1 & ½ year and there is no explanation offered for such delay. Challenge to the appointment of respondent No.8 is delayed by 1 & ½ years without any explanation.

4. In that view of the matter, irrespective of the merits of the case, I am inclined to dismiss the petition only on the ground of delay and laches.

5. In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
(**Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**)
Judge