

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**M.Cr.C.No.1958 of 2016****1.** Kamta Prasad Verma S/o Baluram Verma, aged 45 years**2.** Mannu Yadav S/o Bhikhan Yadav, aged 36 yearsBoth Above R/o Villlage Murmunda P.S. Ghumka, District
Rajnandgaon (CG)**---Applicants****Versus**State of Chhattisgarh Through: Station House Officer, Bori, District
Durg (CG)**---Non-applicant**

For Applicants	:	Mr. Purnendra Khichariya, Advocate
For Non-applicant	:	Mr.Avinash Singh, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order on Board****25/04/2016**

1. This is an application filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the applicants, who have been arrested in connection with Crime No.23 of 2016, registered at Police Station-Bori, District-Durg (CG), for the offence punishable under Section 34(2) of the Chhattisgarh Excise Act.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that, 14.040 bulk liters of illicit liquor was seized by the police from the present applicants.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have not committed any offence and they have falsely been implicated in crime in question. They are in custody since 14.2.2016.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application.

5. I have heard counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Taking into consideration the condition incorporated in Section 59-A(ii) of the C.G. Excise Act, 1915, and bearing in mind the principles of law laid down in **Banti Singh v. State of Chhattisgarh** (M.Cr.C. No.6846 of 2014, decided on 05.01.2015), if the facts of present case are examined, it is apparent that there is no criminal antecedent of the present applicants and only 14.040 bulk liters of illicit liquor has been seized from them which is more than prescribed limit of 5 bulk liters, but looking to the fact that it is first offence of the applicants and they are in custody from 14.12.2015 and case is triable by the Judicial Magistrate First Class and trial is likely to take some more time and further taking into account the nature and gravity of offence and plea raised by the applicant that he has falsely been implicated in case, I am of the opinion that present is the fit case, in which, the applicants should be enlarged on regular bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. is allowed. It is directed that on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of **Rs.25,000/-** each with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned Court for his appearance as and when directed, the applicants shall be released on bail, subject to following conditions:

- That, the applicants shall furnish a specific undertaking that while on bail, they will not commit any excise offence, otherwise bail granted to them shall be liable to be cancelled and shall co-operate the prosecution during trial.
 - That, the accused/applicants shall make themselves available for interrogation before the concerned Investigating Officer as and when required and the accused/applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.
 - That, the accused/applicants shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial.
8. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
JUDGE

B/-