

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 691 of 2016**

1. Kamlesh Sonboier S/o Late Shri Govindram, Aged About 41 Years
Occupation - Sub Inspector (M), Under The Office Of Superintendent
Of Police, P.S. Chikhli, District Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)
2. Smt. Rajni Shrivastava W/o Shri Madhavram Shrivastava, Aged About 54
Years Occupation- Sub Inspector (M), Under the Office Of
Superintendent Of Police, P.S. Chikhli, District Rajnandgaon
(Chhattisgarh)
3. Kush Kumar Mishra, S/o Late G.P. Mishra, Aged About 60 Years
Occupation Sub Inspector (M), Under the Office Of Superintendent Of
Police, P.S. Chikhli, District Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)
4. Smt. Vashra Sharma, W/o Shri Jitendra Sharma, Aged About 39
Years Occupation Asst. Sub Inspector (M), Under The Office Of
Superintendent Of Police, Police Training Center, P.S. Chikhli, District
Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)
5. V.K. Zema, S/o Late Shri A.L. Zema, Aged About 60 Years
Occupation Sub Inspector (M), Under The Office Of Superintendent
Of Police, P.S. Chikhli, District Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Home Affairs, Police
Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Mantralaya, New Raipur
(Chhattisgarh)
2. The Secretary, Finance, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Mantralaya, Raipur
(Chhattisgarh)
3. The Director General Of Police (Administration) Police Head Quarters,
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Rakesh Anthony, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Shri S.P. Kale, Dy. Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****04/03/2016**

(1) Petitioners' writ petition bearing W.P. (S) No.217/2014 has been disposed of by this Court vide order dated 16.01.2014.

(2) Counsel for the petitioner submits that the order passed by this Court in W.P. (S) No.217/214 has not been complied with by respondent authorities and, therefore, the instant writ petition has been filed for the same cause of action.

(3) After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the petitioner cannot maintain the second writ petition for the same cause of action as it is barred by principle of res judicata.

(4) Accordingly, the writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed. However, the petitioner is free to proceed in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

D/-