

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.474 of 2016**

F. Ekka, aged about 50 years, S/o Shri P.Ekka, working as Vice Principal, ITI Ambikapur, District Surguja, behind Punjab Garden, Police Station Gandhi Nagar, Ambikapur, District Surguja (CG).

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Skill Development, Technical Education and Employment Wing, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur.
2. Deputy Secretary, Skill Development, Technical Education and Employment Wing, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur.
3. Director, Directorate of Employment and Training, Indiravati Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur.
4. Shri P.N. Sinha, Principal Grade-II (ITI Bagicha) and In-charge Joint Director, ITI, Regional Office, Mayor Colony, Subhash Nagar, Ambikapur, District Surguja.

--- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Shrawan Agrawal, Advocate
 For Respondents : Shri Dhiraj Wankhede, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

18/02/2016**(1)** Heard.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is working as Vice Principal in ITI Ambikapur, who has been transferred from ITI, Ambikapur, District Surguja to ITI Bagicha, District Jashpur instead of respondent No.4, by the impugned transfer order dated 08.02.2016 passed by respondent No.2-Deputy Secretary,

Skill Development, Technical Education and Employment Department, Raipur, against which, he has made representation before the State Government, which has not been decided till this date and, therefore, he may be permitted to make fresh representation before the State Government and direction may be issued to the said authority to decide the same expeditiously.

(3) Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.

(4) The petitioner is permitted to make fresh representation before the State Government. If such representation is made by the petitioner before the State Government and, in turn, the State Government shall consider and decide the same on its own merit and in accordance with law preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation of the petitioner.

(5) For a period of two months, the effect and operation of the impugned transfer order dated 08.02.2016, in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed.

(6) With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-